

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/752,894	12/27/2000	Thomas J. Clough	ES-65 - DIV-8	1336	
7.	590 03/28/2003				
Thomas J. Clough ENSCI Inc. P.O. Box 718			EXAMINER		
			VO, HAI		
Pismo Beach, CA 93448			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771	5	
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	App	olicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/752,894	CLC	DUGH, THOMAS J.			
		Examiner	Art	Unit			
		Hai Vo	177	1			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1)⊡							
2a)⊡							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa			cution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-11,13-18 and 20-22 is/are pending in the application.							
.,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-11,13-18 and 20-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirem	ent.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)							
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	iterview Summary (PTC lotice of Informal Patent ther:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/752,894

Art Unit: 1771

1. Claims 12 and 19 have been canceled in the amendment received on 01/15/2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-11, 13-18, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clough (US 5,601,945). Clough discloses a porous resilient organic polymer product comprising resilient non-spherical, elongated, porous organic polymer particles having a mean particle size ranging from 3 to 150 microns (column 13, line 5, and column 16, line 10), a plurality of open cell pores having an average pore size distribution of 0.075 to 10 micron (column 16, line 6) with the pore volume ranging from 40 to 92% (column 15, line 60). Clough discloses particle size of the porous substrate being from 5 to 75 microns (column 13, lines 5-6). Clough discloses the porous substrate further including a surfactant (column 16, lines 62-65). Clough discloses the porous substrate being polypropylene (claim 24). Clough discloses sulfuric acid liquid electrolyte absorbed in the pore structure (column 16, lines 15-20). Clough does not specially disclose the process of making a porous organic polymer set forth in the claims. It is the examiner's position that the article of Clough is identical to the claimed article prepared by the method of the claim, because both articles are

Application/Control Number: 09/752,894

Art Unit: 1771

made of the same organic polymer, having structural similarity (particle size, pore size and pore distribution meeting the specific ranges required by the claims). Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or an obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). The burden has been shifted to the applicant to show unobvious differences between the claimed product and the prior art product. *In re Marosi*, 218 USPQ 289,291 (Fed. Cir. 1983). The Clough reference anticipates the claimed subject matter. It is noted that if the applicant intends to rely on Examples in the specification or in a submitted Declaration to show nonobviousness, the applicant should clearly state how the Examples of the present invention are commensurate in scope with the claims and how the Comparative Examples are commensurate in scope with Clough. It is the examiner's position that Clough anticipates the claimed subject matter.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. This application repeats a substantial portion of prior Application No. 09/167,320, filed October 10, 1998, and adds and claims additional disclosure not

Application/Control Number: 09/752,894

Art Unit: 1771

presented in the prior application. Since this application names an inventor or inventors named in the prior application, it may constitute a continuation-in-part of the prior application. Should applicant desire to obtain the benefit of the filing date of the prior application, attention is directed to 35 U.S.C. 120 and 37 CFR 1.78. The examiner maintains that this application has been treated as a continuation-in-part and therefore none of the claims are eligible for the benefit of the filing date of the prior application. As a consequence, specification objections have been maintained.

Claim objections and the 112 claim rejections have been overcome by the present amendment and response.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

Application/Control Number: 09/752,894

Art Unit: 1771

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (703) 605-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri, 8:30-6:00 and on alternating Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

HV March 19, 2003 TERREL MORRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 5